VIRGINIA:

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY, VIRGINIA

JOHN C. DEPP, II :

Plaintiff, :

•

v.

AMBER LAURA HEARD, :

: Civil Action No.: CL-2019-0002911

Defendant.

:

PLAINTIFF JOHN C. DEPP, II'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO DEFENDANT <u>AMBER LAURA HEARD'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES</u>

Pursuant to Rule 4:8 of the Rules of the Supreme Court of Virginia, Plaintiff John C. Depp, II, by and through his undersigned counsel, hereby responds and objects to Defendant Amber Laura Heard's First Set of Interrogatories (each, an "Interrogatory" and collectively, the "Interrogatory"), dated October 7, 2019 and served in the above captioned action ("Action") as follows:

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

1. Plaintiff incorporates by reference as if fully set forth herein the General Objections contained in the Responses and Objections to Defendant's First Set of Requests for Production of Documents and Things to Plaintiff, dated September 3, 2019.

OBJECTIONS TO INSTRUCTIONS AND DEFINITIONS

Instructions

1. In accordance with the Rules of this Court, You shall answer the following Interrogatories separately and fully, in writing, under oath.

Blair Berk	Unknown
Jacob Bloom	Unknown

2. State whether You or anyone acting on Your behalf, including Your attorneys or investigator(s), have ever taken, received or assisted in drafting or preparing any declaration, affidavit, or other written statement of any person relating to this lawsuit and/or the factual allegations that are the substance of this suit. If so, please provide the names, current addresses, telephone numbers and occupation of each such person giving a statement, and the date of each such statement.

ANSWER:

In addition to the foregoing General Objections and Objections to Definitions and Instructions, Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory as overly broad and unduly burdensome, and to the extent that it seeks the information protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege, immunity, or protection.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Plaintiff identifies the following statements: Plaintiff's declaration in support of his opposition to the motion to dismiss and Kevin Murphy's (Plaintiff's former estate manager) declaration in support of Plaintiff's opposition to the motion to dismiss.

3. Identify all devices in Your possession, custody, or control in which ESI that relates to the claims or defenses in this case, or is reasonably likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, is or is reasonably likely to be stored. For the avoidance of doubt, include in your response all devices in your possession, custody, or control that are or were owned or used by Ms. Heard.

ANSWER:

In addition to the foregoing General Objections and Objections to Definitions and Instructions, Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory as overly broad and unduly burdensome, and to the extent that it seeks the production of documents or communications protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege, immunity,

or protection. Plaintiff further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it seeks information outside of his personal knowledge, and within the personal knowledge of Ms. Heard.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Plaintiff identifies the following devices: iPhone, iPad, MacBook Pro, an iCloud account, and the devices and data belonging to Stephen Deuters collected in May 2017 (iPad and iPhone) and Nathan Holmes collected in March 2018 (iPhone).

4. Identify all email addresses, social media accounts, and Chat Applications that You have used to communicate in relation to this Action or the claims and defenses therein.

ANSWER:

In addition to the foregoing General Objections and Objections to Definitions and Instructions, Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory as overly broad and unduly burdensome, and to the extent that it seeks the production of documents or communications protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege, immunity, or protection.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, Plaintiff identifies the following accounts: dictator3@aol.com (email account) and him@infinitum-nihil.com (email account). Mr. Depp uses his mobile phone to communicate on iMessage and WhatsApp.

5. Identify all pseudonyms, nicknames, handles, stage names, or other names that You have used in referring to Yourself, or which any person identified in Your answer to Interrogatory No. 2, has used in referring to You. For each, describe the context in which the name was used.

ANSWER:

In addition to the foregoing General Objections and Objections to Definitions and Instructions, Plaintiff objects to this Interrogatory as overly broad and unduly burdensome, and to the extent that it seeks the production of documents or communications protected by the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege, immunity,

Dated: October 28, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

Benjamin G. Chew (VSB #29113)

Elliot J. Weingarten (pro hac vice)

Camille M. Vasquez (pro hac vice)

Andrew C. Crawford (VSB #89093)

BROWN RUDNICK, LLP

601 Thirteenth Street NW, Suite 600

Washington, DC 20005 Phone: (202) 536-1785

Fax: (617) 289-0717

bchew@brownrudnick.com

- and -

Robert B. Gilmore (pro hac vice)

Kevin L. Attridge (pro hac vice)

STEIN MITCHELL BEATO & MISSNER LLP

901 15th Street NW, Suite 700

Washington, DC 20005

Phone: (202) 601-1589

Fax: (202) 296-8312

rgilmore@steinmitchell.com

Adam R. Waldman

THE ENDEAVOR GROUP LAW FIRM, P.C.

1775 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Suite 350

Washington, DC 20006

Counsel for Plaintiff John C. Depp, II

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 28th day of October 2019, I caused copies of the foregoing to be served via email (per written agreement between the Parties) on the following:

Roberta A. Kaplan (pro hac vice)
Julie E. Fink (pro hac vice)
John C. Quinn (pro hac vice)
Joshua Matz (pro hac vice)
KAPLAN HECKER & FINK, LLP
350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 7110
New York, New York 10118
Telephone: (212) 763-0883
rkaplan@kaplanhecker.com
jfink@kaplanhecker.com
jquinn@kaplanhecker.com
jmatz@kaplanhecker.com

A. Benjamin Rottenborn (VSB No. 84796)
Joshua R. Treece (VSB No. 79149)
WOODS ROGERS PLC
10 S. Jefferson Street, Suite 1400
P.O. Box 14125
Roanoke, Virginia 24011
Telephone: (540) 983-7540
brottenborn@woodsrogers.com
jtreece@woodsrogers.com

Counsel for Defendant Amber Laura Heard

Eric M. George (pro hac vice)
Richard A. Schwartz (pro hac vice)
BROWNE GEORGE ROSS LLP
2121 Avenue of the Stars, Suite 2800
Los Angeles, California 90067

Telephone: (310) 274-7100 Facsimile: (310) 275-5697 egeorge@bgrfirm.com rschwartz@bgrfirm.com

Benjamin G. Chew

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify under penalty of perjury that the contents of the foregoing are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Dated: 28 oct., 2019

Location:

John C. Depp. 1

63528360 v1